



Regularized Scalar Operators

R. DELAUBENFELS

Scientia Research Institute

P.O. Box 988, Athens, OH 45701-2979, U.S.A.

72260.2403@CompuServe.Com

H. EMAMIRAD

Département de Mathématiques, Université de Poitiers

86022 Poitiers cedex, France

emamirad@matpt.univ-poitiers.fr

M. JAZAR

Department of Mathematics, Lebanese University

Hadet, Lebanon

(Received February 1996; accepted March 1996)

Communicated by C. Bardos

Abstract—We introduce a C -regularized scalar operator. These have the properties of spectral operators of scalar type, except that the spectral measure is bounded and countably additive only after applying the regularizing operator C . We discuss the relationship between regularized scalar operators, regularized functional calculi, and generating a polynomially bounded regularized group.

Keywords—Functional calculus, Group of operators, Unbounded generalized scalar operators, Vector-valued measure.

1. DEFINITIONS AND THEOREMS

Scalar operators are a generalization, to arbitrary Banach spaces, of self-adjoint operators on a Hilbert space. An early disappointment was the realization that most standard differential operators are not scalar, unless the operator acts on a Hilbert space. There are at least two ways to remedy this inconvenience. The first alternative is to generalize the spectral measure to a spectral distribution defined on $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$, the space of test functions. This is done in [1], where there is given a generalization of Stone's theorem on a Banach space, and an extensive number of applications (see [2]). The second is to consider the spectral projections $E(\sigma)$ as unbounded operators and introduce an injective bounded operator C such that $E(\sigma)C$ is bounded for all $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}$, where \mathcal{B} is the Borel sets of the real line. This provides uniform control over the unboundedness and gives tangible information about the semisimplicity manifold of Kantorovitz (see [3], and the reference therein; see Theorem 4).

Throughout this letter, \mathcal{H} will be a Banach algebra of complex-valued functions, A is a linear operator with $\sigma(A) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, $C \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ is injective, and $CA \subseteq AC$. We make $\text{Im}(C)$, the image of C , into a continuously embedded Banach subspace of X , denoted by $[\text{Im}(C)]$, with $\|x\|_{[\text{Im}(C)]} = \|C^{-1}x\|$, for all $x \in [\text{Im}(C)]$. We say that the complex number λ is in the C -resolvent set, $\rho_C(A)$,

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}\text{-T}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}$

if $(\lambda - A)$ is injective and $\text{Im}(C) \subseteq \text{Im}(\lambda - A)$. We say also, that the Banach algebra \mathcal{H} is *admissible*, if $f_0(s) \equiv 1 \in \mathcal{H}$, and there exists λ such that $g_\lambda(s) \equiv (\lambda - s)^{-1} \in \mathcal{H}$.

DEFINITION 1. Suppose \mathcal{H} is an admissible Banach algebra. A C -regularized \mathcal{H} functional calculus for A is a continuous map $\Lambda : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$ such that

- (1) $\Lambda(f_0) = C$;
- (2) $\Lambda f \Lambda g = C \Lambda(fg)$, for all $f, g \in \mathcal{H}$; and
- (3) if $g_r \in \mathcal{H}$, then $r \in \rho_C(A)$ and $\Lambda g_r = (r - A)^{-1}C$.

For $\mathcal{H} = H^\infty(\Omega)$, where Ω is an open subset of the complex plane, the following appears in [4].

THEOREM 2. Suppose \mathcal{H} is admissible. Then the following are equivalent.

- (a) A has a C -regularized \mathcal{H} functional calculus.
- (b) There exists a Banach space Z such that $[\text{Im}(C)] \hookrightarrow Z \hookrightarrow X$, and $A|_Z$ has a \mathcal{H} functional calculus such that $Cf(A|_Z)x = f(A|_Z)Cx$, for all $x \in Z$.

If Λ is the C -regularized \mathcal{H} functional calculus for A , and $f \mapsto f(A|_Z)$ is the \mathcal{H} functional calculus for $A|_Z$, then $\Lambda f = f(A|_Z)C$, for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$.

DEFINITION 3. We will say that A is C -regularized scalar if it has a C -regularized $B(\mathbb{R})$ -functional calculus Λ , where $B(\mathbb{R})$ is the set of all bounded complex-valued Borel measurable functions on the real line, with the supremum norm, and there exists a family of operators $\{F(\sigma) \mid \sigma \in \mathcal{B}\}$ such that, for all $x \in X$, $F(\sigma)x$ defines a countably additive vector-valued measure, and for all $f \in B(\mathbb{R})$, $x \in X$, $\Lambda(f)x = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(s) dF(s)x$.

If $F(s) \equiv F((-\infty, s])$, then the family $\{F(s)\}_{s \in \mathbb{R}}$ is called a C -spectral family for A .

THEOREM 4. The following are equivalent.

- (a) A is C -regularized scalar.
- (b) There exists a Banach space V such that

$$[\text{Im}(C)] \hookrightarrow V \hookrightarrow X,$$

and $A|_V$ is a scalar-type spectral operator that commutes with $C|_V$.

If E is the projection-valued measure for $A|_V$, and F as in Definition 3, then $F(\sigma) = E(\sigma)C$, for any $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}$.

THEOREM 5. Suppose $\sigma(A) = \{a_k\}_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, $\lim_{|k| \rightarrow \infty} |a_k| = \infty$, and A has a C -regularized $B(\sigma(A))$ functional calculus. Then for all $p > 0$, A is $(i + A)^{-p}C$ -regularized scalar.

DEFINITION 6. A C -regularized semigroup is a strongly continuous family $\{W(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X)$ such that

- (1) $W(0) = C$,
- (2) $W(t)W(s) = CW(t + s)$, for all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

The generator is defined by $Ax = C^{-1} \frac{d}{dt} W(t)x|_{t=0}$, with maximal domain, that is

$$\mathcal{D}(A) \equiv \left\{ x \in X \mid \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} (W(t)x - Cx) \text{ exists and is in } \text{Im}(C) \right\}.$$

$\{W(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is called a C -regularized group if (1) and (2) hold, for all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$. The generator is defined in the same way, except that in "lim $_{t \rightarrow 0}$," t may be positive or negative.

In the case where the regularized group is temperate, we can show the following.

THEOREM 7. iA generates an $(i - A)^{-k}$ -regularized group $\{W(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ and there exists a polynomial R_ℓ , of degree ℓ , such that $\|W(t)\| \leq |R_\ell(t)|$, for all t . Then A has an $(i - A)^{-(k+1)}$ -regularized $W^{\ell+1, \infty}(\mathbb{R})$ -functional calculus.

2. THE PROOFS OF THE THEOREMS

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. For the implication (a) \Rightarrow (b), let us define, for any $f \in \mathcal{H}$, the possibly unbounded operator $f(A)$, with domain equal to $\mathcal{D}(f(A)) \equiv \{x \mid \Lambda f x \in \text{Im}(C)\}$, by $f(A)x \equiv C^{-1}\Lambda f x$. Let $\mathcal{D} \equiv \bigcap_{f \in \mathcal{H}} \mathcal{D}(f(A))$, for $x \in \mathcal{D}$, $\|x\|_Z \equiv \sup\{\|f(A)x\| \mid f \in \mathcal{H}, \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq 1\}$, and we take $Z \equiv \{x \in \mathcal{D} \mid \|x\|_Z < \infty\}$. Choosing $f \equiv f_0$ implies that $Z \hookrightarrow X$.

Since, for any $y = Cx \in [\text{Im}(C)]$,

$$\|y\|_Z = \sup\{\|(\Lambda f)x\| \mid f \in \mathcal{H}, \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq 1\} \leq \|\Lambda\| \|x\| \equiv \|\Lambda\| \|y\|_{[\text{Im}(C)]},$$

hence, $[\text{Im}(C)] \hookrightarrow Z$.

We claim that, for any $f \in \mathcal{H}$, $f(A)$ takes \mathcal{D} to itself. Fix $x \in \mathcal{D}$, $g \in \mathcal{H}$. By definition of Λ , $C\Lambda g(f(A)x) = \Lambda g\Lambda f x = C\Lambda(fg)x$. The injectivity of C implies that $\Lambda g(f(A)x) = \Lambda(fg)x$. Since $x \in \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}(fg(A))$, thus we have $\Lambda g(f(A)x) \in \text{Im}(C)$, that is, $f(A)x \in \mathcal{D}(g(A))$. Since g was an arbitrary element of \mathcal{H} , we infer that $f(A)x \in \mathcal{D}$. This argument also shows that $g(A)f(A)x = (fg)(A)x$, for all $x \in \mathcal{D}$, $f, g \in \mathcal{H}$.

If $\|g\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 1$, then $\|g(A)x\|_Z = \sup\{\|(fg)(A)x\| \mid f \in \mathcal{H}, \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq 1\} \leq \|x\|_Z$, because $\|fg\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}\|g\|_{\mathcal{H}}$.

So $f(A) : Z \rightarrow Z$, with $\|f(A)x\|_Z \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}\|x\|_Z$. Thus, $A|_Z$ has a \mathcal{H} functional calculus given by $f(A|_Z) \equiv (f(A))|_Z$.

Note that, for any $f \in \mathcal{H}$, $f(A)$ is closed, since $\Lambda f \in \mathcal{L}(X)$. By [5, Lemma 1.5], Z is a Banach space.

The implication (b) \Rightarrow (a) is clear by defining $\Lambda f \equiv Cf(A|_Z)$. ■

PROOF OF THEOREM 4. Suppose (a), and let Z be the Banach space of Theorem 2 for $B(\mathbb{R})$. Let V be the set of all $x \in Z$, such that $\sigma \mapsto 1_{\sigma}(A|_Z)f(A|_Z)x$ defines a countably additive Z -valued measure, for any $f \in B(\mathbb{R})$. We give V the same norm as Z . For any $x \in V$, Borel set σ , define $E(\sigma)x \equiv 1_{\sigma}(A|_Z)x$.

It is clear that V is left invariant by $f(A|_Z)$, for any $f \in B(\mathbb{R})$; in particular, $E(\sigma)$ maps V into itself, for any Borel set σ . Thus, $A|_V$ has a $B(\mathbb{R})$ -functional calculus defined by

$$f(A|_V) \equiv f(A|_Z)|_V.$$

We will show that V is a closed subspace of Z . Suppose $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence in V , converging to $x \in Z$. For any $f \in B(\mathbb{R})$, since $f(A|_Z) \in \mathcal{L}(Z)$, $f(A|_Z)x_n \rightarrow f(A|_Z)x$, in Z . Thus, to show countable additivity of $\sigma \mapsto E(\sigma)f(A|_Z)x$, it is sufficient to assume that $f = f_0$, so that $f(A|_Z) = I$. Finite additivity follows from the fact that $g \mapsto g(A|_Z)$ is linear. Let $\{\sigma_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of disjoint Borel sets, $\sigma \equiv \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \sigma_k$. Since the map $g \mapsto g(A|_Z)$ is continuous, there exists a constant M such that $\|E(\Theta)\| \leq M$, for any Borel set Θ . Thus, a standard ϵ argument shows that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\| E(\sigma)x - \sum_{k=1}^N E(\sigma_k)x \right\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|E(\bigcup_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \sigma_k)x_n\| = 0,$$

since $\Theta \mapsto E(\Theta)x_n$ is countably additive, for all n . This shows that $x \in V$, so that V is a closed subspace of Z , hence, a Banach space.

Clearly E is a projection-valued measure and

$$f(A|_V)x = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(s) dE(s)x, \quad \text{for all } x \in V,$$

for any simple function f , thus for any $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$, since such a function is the uniform limit of simple functions. In particular,

$$(i + A|_V)^{-1}x = \left(t \mapsto (i + t)^{-1}\right)(A|_V)x = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (i + s)^{-1} dE(s)x, \quad \text{for all } x \in V.$$

This implies that $A|_V$ is a scalar-type spectral operator.

It is clear from Theorem 2 that $F(\sigma) = E(\sigma)C$. This implies that $\text{Im}(C) \subseteq V$, since it follows that $E(\sigma)f(A|_Z)Cx = \int_{\sigma} f(s) dF_x(s)$. By Theorem 2, $[\text{Im}(C)] \hookrightarrow V$. The fact that (b) \Rightarrow (a) is clear by defining $F(\sigma) \equiv E(\sigma)C$, $\Lambda f \equiv Cf(A|_V)$ as in (2) of Definition 1. \blacksquare

PROOF OF THEOREM 5. Let Λ be the C -regularized $B(\sigma(A))$ functional calculus for A . Fix $p > 0$. For $f \in B(\sigma(A))$, define

$$\Lambda_p f \equiv (\Lambda f)(i + A)^{-p} = \Lambda f_p,$$

where $f_p(s) = f(s)(i + s)^{-p}$. It is clear that this defines a $C(i + A)^{-p}$ -regularized $B(\sigma(A))$ functional calculus for A .

For any Borel set σ , define

$$F(\sigma) \equiv \Lambda_p(1_{\sigma}).$$

Fix $x \in X$. For any $x^* \in X^*$, there exists a unique complex-valued measure of bounded variation μ_{x^*} , such that

$$\langle (\Lambda f)x, x^* \rangle = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} f(a_k) \mu_{x^*}(\{a_k\}), \quad (1)$$

for any $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$. In particular,

$$\langle F(\{a_k\})x, x^* \rangle = (i + a_k)^{-p} \mu_{x^*}(\{a_k\}), \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (2)$$

By the Dunford-Pettis theorem, the map $\sigma \mapsto F(\sigma)x$ is a countably additive vector-valued measure.

For $x^* \in X^*$, $f \in B(\sigma(A))$, (1) and (2) imply that

$$\langle (\Lambda_p f)x, x^* \rangle = \langle (\Lambda f_p)x, x^* \rangle = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} f(a_k) \langle F(\{a_k\})x, x^* \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(s) \langle dF(s)x, x^* \rangle,$$

which implies $(\Lambda_p f)x = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(s) dF(s)x$. \blacksquare

PROOF OF THEOREM 7. As in Definition 2.2, define $g_i(s) \equiv (i - s)^{-1}$.

Note that we think of $W(t)$ as being $(i - A)^{-k} e^{itA}$, so that, informally, we are using the Fourier inversion theorem,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F}(fg_i)W(t) dt = (fg_i)(A)(i - A)^{-k} = f(A)(i - A)^{-(k+1)}.$$

Define, for any $x \in X$ and $f \in W^{\ell+1, \infty}(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\Lambda(f)x \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}} [\mathcal{F}(fg_i)](t)W(t)x dt,$$

where $\mathcal{F}(f)$ is the Fourier transform of f .

The following calculation shows that this integral is convergent, and provides the desired upper bound for $\|\Lambda(f)x\|$. For any $f \in W^{\ell+1, \infty}(\mathbb{R})$, there exist two constants K_1, K_2 such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Lambda(f)x\| &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left\| \mathcal{F} \left(\left(1 + \frac{d}{ds}\right)^{\ell} (fg_i) \right) \right\| (t) \frac{W(t)x}{(i+t)^{\ell}} dt \\ &\leq K_1 \|x\| \left\| \mathcal{F} \left(\left(1 + \frac{d}{ds}\right)^{\ell} (fg_i) \right) \right\|_1 \\ &\leq K_1 K_2 \|x\| \sum_{j=0}^{\ell+1} \left\| (fg_i)^{(j)} \right\|_2 \leq K_1 K_2 M_{\ell+1} \|x\| \|f\|_{W^{\ell+1, \infty}(\mathbb{R})}. \end{aligned}$$

In fact, for all $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$, there exists a constant c so that $\|\mathcal{F}f\|_1 \leq c(\|f\|_2 + \|f'\|_2)$, and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a constant M_n such that

$$\sum_{j=0}^n \left\| (fg_i)^{(j)} \right\|_2 \leq M_n \|f\|_{W^{n,\infty}(\mathbb{R})}, \quad \text{for all } f \in W^{n,\infty}(\mathbb{R}).$$

Thus, the map $f \mapsto \Lambda f$ defines a bounded linear map from $W^{\ell+1,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ into $\mathcal{L}(X)$.

We will now verify (1)–(3) of Definition 1.

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(f_0)x &\equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F}(g_i)(t)W(t)x \, dt = -i \int_{-\infty}^0 e^t W(t)x \, dt = -i \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t} W(-t)x \, dt \\ &= -i(1+iA)^{-1}W(0)x = (i-A)^{-1}W(0)x = (i-A)^{-(k+1)}x. \end{aligned}$$

This verifies (1). Property (3) follows by essentially the same calculation.

For (2), we use a change of variables and Fubini's theorem, as follows, for $x \in X$, $f, g \in W^{\ell+1,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$.

$$\begin{aligned} (\Lambda f \Lambda g)x &\equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}} [\mathcal{F}(fg_i)](t_1)W(t_1) \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} [\mathcal{F}(gg_i)](t_2)W(t_2)x \, dt_2 \right] dt_1 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} [\mathcal{F}(fg_i)](t_1) \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} [\mathcal{F}(gg_i)](t_2)W(t_1+t_2)(i-A)^{-k}x \, dt_2 \right] dt_1 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} W(t_3)(i-A)^{-k}x \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} [\mathcal{F}(fg_i)](t_1)[\mathcal{F}(gg_i)](t_3-t_1) \, dt_1 \right] dt_3 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} W(t_3)(i-A)^{-k}x [\mathcal{F}((fg_i)(gg_i))](t_3) \, dt_3 \equiv \Lambda(fgg_i)(i-A)^{-k}x. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\Lambda(fg)(i-A)^{-(k+1)} = \Lambda(fg)\Lambda(f_0) = \Lambda(fgg_i)(i-A)^{-k},$$

thus,

$$\Lambda f \Lambda g = \Lambda(fg)(i-A)^{-(k+1)},$$

proving (2). ■

REFERENCES

1. M. Balabane, H. Emamirad and M. Jazar, Spectral distributions and generalization of Stone's theorem to the Banach space, *Acta Appl. Math.* **31**, 275–295, (1993).
2. H. Emamirad and M. Jazar, Applications of spectral distributions to some Cauchy problems in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, In *Trends in Semigroup Theory and Evolution Equations*, (Edited by P. Clément), pp. 143–151, Marcel Dekker, (1991).
3. R. deLaubenfels and S. Kantorovitz, The semi-simplicity manifold for arbitrary Banach spaces, *J. Func. An.* **113**, 138–167, (1995).
4. R. deLaubenfels, Unbounded holomorphic functional calculus and abstract Cauchy problems for operators with polynomially bounded resolvent, *J. Func. An.* **114**, 345–394, (1993).
5. R. deLaubenfels and S. Kantorovitz, The Laplace and Laplace-Stieltjes space, *J. Func. An.* **116**, 1–61, (1993).